DOs and DON'Ts in Comment Writing

Please DO		Example
describe how the target deviates from source (rather than the other way around)		"wild" added to "horse" "horse" translated as "wild horse"ENG source only reads "horse", not "wild horse"
make sure that the location of your intervention is clear		"True" and "False" left in English in the table heading
use back-translations whenever useful, and ALWAYS in the case of mistranslation		 "donkey" translated as "monkey" "usually" was omitted in target "once a week" was added in target
advise, if your comment is FYI only		FYI: in Zedish "with backbone" and "vertebrate" have the same root word, which makes the correct answer obvious. Unchanged by Ver
use impersonal and neutral approach		Verifier feels Changed by VerI think I changed
run the spell-checker in your comments		Spilling mistake corected by Ver
use quotation marks when referring to text		The word "length" was adapted as "big". OKThe word length was adapted as big. OK
Please DON'T	Example	
describe what it reads in the source (but rather how the target deviates from source)	In ENG source it reads "book"Not "booklet""book" translated as "booklet"	
describe the issue through the action performed by you	Ver changed "house" to "building""building" translated as "house"	
write comments that are not understandable to reviewers that do not understand the target language	இ "ഉրցխ" changed to "իընշւխյւզգ" (Which term is the comment referring to? Who made the change? Why?) பே "building" translated as "house"	
refer to other projects	Ver prefers the answering categories as used in TIMSSVer suggests categories "ZZ", "YY", "WW" for better equivalency to source	
use sarcasm, criticism, exclamation marks, offensive language	As usual, the Zedish team has AGAIN used as many as four different synonyms for "car"!!! while source uses same word throughout the unit Four different synonyms used for "building". Harmonized by Ver Translation clumsy Translation not natural, better wording suggested by Ver	
describe grammar or minor issues in great detail	Possessive suffix 'si' missing. The suffix is always required if the main word is preceded by a possessive pronoun. Sometimes it can be dropped, if the 'owner' of the object is clear from context, but in this case this is not possible. Possessive suffix 'si' missing.	

Last update: 2016/11/14 06:24

Please DON'T	Example	
VFR)	BT of RO C is "donkey". Changed by ver. Back-translation of response option C is "donkey". Changed by ver.	

[©] Before delivering the work, **please read your comments through once more** to make sure they are understandable also when not looking at the verified file.

From:

https://wiki.capstan.be/ - cApStAn

Permanent link:

https://wiki.capstan.be/doku.php?id=verif_ref:do_dont&rev=1479097467

Last update: 2016/11/14 06:24



https://wiki.capstan.be/ Printed on 2025/12/28 00:16