

DOs and DON'Ts in Comment Writing

Please DO ...	Example
...describe how the target deviates from source (rather than the other way around)	<p>😬 “wild” added to “horse” “horse” translated as “wild horse”</p> <p>😬 ENG source only reads “horse”, not “wild horse”</p>
...make sure that the location of your intervention is clear	😬 “True” and “False” left in English in the table heading
...use back-translations whenever useful, and ALWAYS in the case of mistranslation	😬 “donkey” translated as “monkey”
... advise, if your comment is FYI only	😬 FYI: in Zedish “with backbone” and “vertebrate” have the same root word, which makes the correct answer obvious. Unchanged by Ver
... ALWAYS advise whether or not you made an intervention	<p>😬 OK now / Unchanged by Ver</p> <p>😬 Text caption not fully visible. Ver was unable to change \\ 😬 Text caption not fully visible</p>
... use impersonal and neutral approach	😬 “Verifier feels... Changed by Ver(ifier)” “I think...” “I changed”
Please DON'T ...	Example
...describe what it reads in the source (but rather how the target deviates from source)	<p>😬 In ENG source it reads “book”</p> <p>😬 Not “booklet”</p> <p>😬 “book” translated as “booklet”</p>
... describe the issue through the action performed by you	<p>😬 Ver changed “house” to “building”</p> <p>😬 “building” translated as “house”</p>
... write comments that are not understandable to reviewers that do not understand the target language	<p>😬 “քրքի” changed to “իընշւիւլլզ” (Which term is the comment referring to? Who made the change? Why?)</p> <p>😬 “building” translated as “house”</p>
... refer to other projects	<p>😬 Ver prefers the answering categories as used in TIMSS</p> <p>😬 Ver suggests categories “ZZ”, “YY”, “WW” for better equivalency to source</p>
... use sarcasm, criticism, exclamation marks, offensive language	<p>😬 As usual, the Zedish team has AGAIN used as many as four different synonyms for “car”!!! while source uses same word throughout the unit</p> <p>😬 Four different synonyms used for “building”. Harmonized by Ver</p> <p>😬 Translation clumsy</p> <p>😬 Translation not natural, better wording suggested by Ver</p>
... describe grammar issues in great detail	<p>😬 Possessive suffix ‘si’ missing. The suffix is always required if the main word is preceded by a possessive pronoun. Sometimes it can be dropped, if the ‘owner’ of the object is clear from context, but in this case this is not possible.</p> <p>😬 Possessive suffix ‘si’ missing.</p>

😬 Before delivering the work, **please read your comments through once more** to make sure they are understandable also when not looking at the verified file.

From:

<https://wiki.capstan.be/> - **cApStAn**

Permanent link:

https://wiki.capstan.be/doku.php?id=verif_ref:do_dont&rev=1463925239

Last update: **2016/05/22 16:54**

